



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,668	12/18/2001	Stephen Griffin	1001.1535101	6574

28075 7590 11/17/2004

CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE
SUITE 800
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

EXAMINER

FOREMAN, JONATHAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3736

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/025,668

Applicant(s)

GRIFFIN ET AL.

Examiner

Jonathan ML Foreman

Art Unit

3736

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 – 4 and 20 – 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,944,701 to Dubrul.

In reference to claims 1 – 4 and 20 – 23, Dubrul discloses Applicant's claimed invention including an elongate core wire comprising a metal having an elastic limit; and a polymer jacket attached to and surrounding a distal portion of the core wire (Col. 3, lines 38 – 39; Col. 3, lines 62 – 64), the jacket comprising a shape memory polymer having an elastic limit, the polymer jacket being more stiff than the distal portion of the core wire which it surrounds such that if the tip is deformed into shape within the elastic limit of the metal and beyond the elastic limit of the polymer, the tip remains the shape (Col. 3, lines 35 – 39). Dubrul discloses the metal comprising a stainless steel, a super elastic metal and a nickel titanium alloy (Col. 2, lines 2 – 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,944,701 to Dubrul in view of WO 01/07499 to Gunatillake et al.

In reference to claim 17, Dubrul discloses providing a guidewire comprising an elongate core wire with a shape memory polymer jacket attached to and surrounding a portion of the core wire and maintaining the polymer jacket and the portion of the core wire which it surrounds into a desired shape (Col. 3, lines 35 – 39). However, Dubrul fails to disclose heating the polymer to a temperature at or above glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer and cooling the polymer to a temperature below the glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer to maintain the shape. Gunatillake et al. teaches deforming a shape memory polymer, heating a shape memory polymer to a temperature at or above glass transition temperature of the polymer and cooling the polymer to a temperature below the glass transition temperature of the polymer to maintain the deformed shape of the polymer (Page 1, lines 11 – 22). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that in order to maintain the shape memory polymer jacket in the desired shape as disclosed by Dubrul, that one must deform the shape memory polymer, heat the shape memory polymer to a temperature at or above glass transition temperature of the polymer and cool the polymer to a temperature below the glass transition temperature of the polymer to maintain the desired deformed shape of the polymer (Page 1, lines 11 – 22).

5. Claims 17 - 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,944,701 to Dubrul in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,662,621 to Lafontaine

In reference to claims 17 – 19, Dubrul discloses providing a guidewire comprising an elongate core wire with a shape memory polymer jacket attached to and surrounding a portion of the core wire and maintaining the polymer jacket and the portion of the core wire which it surrounds into a desired shape (Col. 3, lines 35 – 39). However, Dubrul fails to disclose heating the polymer to a temperature at or above glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer and cooling the polymer to a temperature below the glass transition temperature of the shape memory

polymer to maintain the shape. Dubrul also fails to disclose reheating and cooling the jacket to form and maintain different shapes including the original shape. Lafontaine teaches providing an elongate core wire with a shape memory polymer jacket surrounding a portion of the core wire; deforming the polymer jacket and the core wire into a shape; heating the deformed polymer jacket to a temperature at or above the glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer (Col. 5, lines 49 – 56); and cooling the deformed jacket to a temperature below the glass transition temperature of the shape memory polymer to maintain the shape (Col. 5, lines 56 – 59). Lafontaine discloses reheating and cooling the jacket to form and maintain different shapes including the original shape (Col. 7, lines 24 – 34). It would have been obvious to modify the method as disclosed by Dubrul to include the steps of deforming the polymer jacket, heating the polymer jacket above or at glass transition of the polymer, cooling the deformed jacket to a temperature below the glass transition temperature and to repeat the steps to form and maintain different shapes including the original shape as taught by Lafontaine in order to navigate the guidewire through the tortuous paths of the vascular system.

6. Claims 5 – 16 and 24 – 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,944,701 to Dubrul in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,485,458 to Takahashi.

In reference to claims 5 – 16 and 24 – 35, Dubrul discloses a shape memory polymer surrounding a portion of the core wire (Col. 3, lines 35 – 39), but fails to disclose the polymer being polyurethane, polynorbornene, polcaprolactone, polymethylmethacrylate, PLLA, PLLA OGA, PL/D LA, PMMA, polyethylene, polyisoprene, styrene-butadiene or photocrosslinkable polymer. However, Takahashi discloses a shape memory polymer surrounding a core wire wherein the polymer consists of polynorbornene, styrene-butadiene, polyurethane, polyisoprene, polyester, polyolefin, acrylic and styrene-acrylic (Col. 5, lines 56 – 67). Takahashi teaches that other shape-

Art Unit: 3736

memory materials can be used in addition to those disclosed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use any shape memory polymer as taught by Takahashi in the device as disclosed by Dubrul in that Takahashi teaches that shape memory polymers are interchangeable. Additionally, the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Leshin*, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). In the present case, replacing the shape memory polymer as disclosed by Lafontaine with any other shape memory polymer is a design consideration within the skill of the art.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/6/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has asserted that Dubrul fails to disclose a polymer jacket surrounding a core wire that is more stiff than the core wire that it surrounds. Applicant asserts that the polymer jacket surrounding the core wire is less stiff than the core wire. Applicant asserts that the force generated by the core wire will overcome any opposing force generated by the jacket. However, the Examiner disagrees. Dubrul clearly teaches the polymer jacket being more stiff than the core wire (Col. 3, lines 35 – 39). The polymer jacket maintains the coil configuration; the portion of the core wire retains its shape due to the retention of the polymer jacket. It is noted that the polymer jacket as disclosed by Dubrul is less stiff than the core wire at increased temperatures. However, at temperatures below body temperature the polymer jacket is more stiff than the core wire.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


Art Unit: 3736

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (703) 305-5390. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703)308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


JMLF
MAX F. HINDENBURG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700